

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated February 9, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings because they do not include reference sign(s) mentioned in the description. Further, the Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). Replacement sheets of the drawings for FIGS. 4 and 7 are submitted for the Examiner's approval and the specification has been amended to overcome the objections.

Moreover, the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of informalities. The disclosure has been corrected to overcome this objection.

Furthermore, the Examiner has objected to claims 1-6 because of informalities. Also, the Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US 6,688,521) in view of Lie et al (US2004/0064619). In addition, the Examiner has stated that claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-6 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 7-11 which are rewritten from original claims 2-6 in order to overcome the objection(s) and rejection(s).

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claims clearly and distinctly patentably define over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

May 7, 2005

ANNOTATED SHEET




FIG. 4

ANNOTATED SHEET




FIG. 7